

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/549,365	09/14/2005	Trevor P Martin	36-1918	5529	
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 11/10/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAM	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			BROWN,	BROWN, SHEREE N	
ARLINGTON	, VA 22203	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2163			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/10/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief							

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/549,365	MARTIN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SHEREE N. BROWN	2163		

	SHEREE IN. BROWN	2103				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 05 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date whave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of valued with under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on     A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	sideration and/or search (see NO) v);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			10 10000 101			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate,	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the			
7. \( \subseteq \text{ for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s), a) \( \int \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: \( \text{Claim(s) allowed: } \) \( \text{Claim(s) allowed: } \) \( \text{Claim(s) rejected: 1-1.1.} \) \( \text{Claim(s) rejected: 1-1.1.} \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \(  \) \		I be entered and an ex	planation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.			
11. \(\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tex{\tex	ented and discussed in the Final R rguments. MPEP § 2106 states C poorting disclosure. In re Morris, 1 ntains Shanahan discloses all of the 313 and 0527 wherein the highest it	ejection mailed on 05.  Office personnel are to 27 F.3d 1048, 1054-5: te features in 1(iv). Marank is the most simila	30/2008. give claims 5, 44 USPQ2d lore importantly, r and the lowest			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13. Other:						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/don wong/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2163

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20081106